arXiv:1602.01290v1 [math.SP] 3 Feb 2016

CHARACTERIZATION OF THE POTENTIAL SMOOTHNESS OF ONE-DIMENSIONAL DIRAC OPERATOR SUBJECT TO GENERAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS AND ITS RIESZ BASIS PROPERTY

İLKER ARSLAN

ABSTRACT. The one-dimensional Dirac operator with periodic potential $V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{P}(x) \\ \mathcal{Q}(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} \in L^2([0,\pi])$ subject to periodic, antiperiodic or a general strictly regular boundary condition (bc) has discrete spectrums. It is known that, for large enough $|n|$ in the disc centered at n of radius $1/4$, the operator has exactly two (periodic if n is even or antiperiodic if n is odd) eigenvalues λ_n^+ and λ_n^- (counted according to multiplicity) and one eigenvalue μ_n^{bc} corresponding to the boundary condition (bc). We prove that the smoothness of the potential could be characterized by the decay rate of the sequence $|\delta_n^{bc}| + |\gamma_n|$, where $\delta_n^{bc} = \mu_n^{bc} - \lambda_n^+$ and $\gamma_n = \lambda_n^+ - \lambda_n^-$. Furthermore, it is shown that the Dirac operator with periodic or antiperiodic boundary condition has the Riesz basis property if and only if $\sup\limits_{\gamma_n \neq 0} \frac{|\delta_n^{bc}|}{|\gamma_n|}$ is finite.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the one-dimensional Dirac operator

$$Ly = i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{dy}{dx} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{P}(x) \\ \mathcal{Q}(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix} y, \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} \in L^2([0,\pi])$, with periodic, antiperiodic and Dirichlet boundary conditions. We also consider a general boundary condition (bc) given by

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(0) + by_1(\pi) + ay_2(0) &= 0 \\ dy_1(\pi) + cy_2(0) + y_2(\pi) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where a, b, c, d are complex numbers subject to the restrictions

$$b + c = 0, \quad ad = 1 - b^2 \tag{3}$$

with $ad \neq 0$. It is well-known that if $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} \in L^2([0,\pi])$, $\mathcal{P} = \overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ and we extend $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ as π-periodic functions on $\mathbb{R}$, then the operator is self-adjoint and has a band-gap structured spectrum of the form

$$Sp(L) = \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} [\lambda_{n-1}^{+}, \lambda_{n}^{-}],$$

where

$$\cdots \leq \lambda_{n-1}^{+} < \lambda_{n}^{-} \leq \lambda_{n}^{+} < \lambda_{n+1}^{-} \cdots .$$

In addition, Floquet theory shows that the endpoints $\lambda_n^{\pm}$ of these spectral gaps are eigenvalues of the operator (1) subject to periodic boundary conditions or antiperiodic boundary conditions. Furthermore, the spectrum is discrete for each of the above boundary conditions. Also, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with large enough $|n|$ the disc with center n and radius $1/8$ contains two eigenvalues (counted with multiplicity) λ_n^+ and λ_n^- of periodic (if n is even) or antiperiodic (if n is odd) boundary conditions and as well one eigenvalue μ_n^{Dir} of Dirichlet boundary condition. There is also one eigenvalue $\mu_n^{bc} = \mu_n$ of the general boundary condition (bc) given above (which will be proven in the first section).

There is a very close relationship between the smoothness of the potential and the rate of decay of the deviations $|\lambda_n^+ - \lambda_n^-|$ and $|\mu_n^{Dir} - \lambda_n^+|$. The story of the discovery of this relation was initiated by H. Hochstadt [15, 16] who considered the (self-adjoint) Hill's operator and proved that the decay rate of the spectral gap $\gamma_n = |\lambda_n^+ - \lambda_n^-|$ is $O(1/n^{m-1})$ if the potential has m continuous derivatives. Furthermore, he showed that every finite-zone potential (i.e., $\gamma_n = 0$ for all but finitely many n) is a C^∞-function. Afterwards, some authors [19, 20, 21] studied on this relation and showed that if γ_n is $O(1/n^k)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then the potential is infinitely differentiable. Furthermore, Trubowitz [26] showed that the potential is analytic if and only if γ_n decays exponentially fast. In the non-selfadjoint case, the potential smoothness still determines the decay rate of γ_n. However, the decay rate of γ_n does not determine the potential smoothness as Gasymov showed [11]. In this case, Tkachenko [24, 22, 25] gave the idea to consider γ_n together with the deviation $\delta_n^{Dir} = \mu_n^{Dir} - \lambda_n^+$ and obtained characterizations of C^∞-smoothness and analyticity of the potential with these deviations γ_n and δ_n^{Dir}. In addition to these developments, Sansuc and Tkachenko [23] proved that the potential is in the Sobolev space H^m, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, if and only if γ_n and δ_n^{Dir} satisfy

$$\sum (|\gamma_n|^2 + |\delta_n^{Dir}|^2)(1 + n^{2m}) < \infty.$$

The results mentioned above have been obtained by using Inverse Spectral Theory.

Grébert, Kappeler, Djakov and Mityagin studied the relationship between the potential smoothness and the decay rate of spectral gaps for Dirac operators (see [13, 14, 7]).

We recall that a characterization of smoothness of a function can be given by weights $\Omega = \Omega(n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, where the corresponding weighted Sobolev space is

$$H(\Omega) = \{v(x) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} v_k e^{2ikx} : \quad \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |v_k|^2(\Omega(k))^2 < \infty\}$$

and the corresponding weighted ℓ^2-space is

$$\ell^2(\Omega,\mathbb{Z}) = \{(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} : \sum |x_n|^2(\Omega(n))^2 < \infty\}.$$

A weight Ω is called sub-multiplicative if $\Omega(n+m) \le \Omega(n)\Omega(m)$ for each $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. It has been proved [7, 5, 8] that for each sub-multiplicative weight $(\Omega(n))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ the following implication holds

$$\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} \in H(\Omega) \implies (\gamma_n) \in \ell^2(\Omega,\mathbb{Z}).$$

As mentioned above, the converse does not necessarily hold. However, a converse of this statement was given [7, 5] in the self-adjoint case, i.e., when $\mathcal{P} = \overline{\mathcal{Q}}$. Furthermore, another converse of this statement is shown in terms of sub-exponential weights and a slightly weaker result is obtained in terms of exponential weights in [18] for Dirac operators with skew-adjoint L^2-potentials. Similar results for Schrödinger operators were obtained in [17, 9, 10, 5].

For the non-self-adjoint case, there is a result in [5] as follows: Let us put

$$\Delta_n = |\lambda_n^+ - \lambda_n^-| + |\lambda_n^+ - \mu_n^{Dir}|,$$

then for each sub-multiplicative weight Ω

$$\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} \in H(\Omega) \implies (\Delta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\Omega).$$

Moreover, if $\Omega = \Omega(n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a sub-multiplicative weight such that $\log\Omega(n)/n \searrow 0$, then

$$(\Delta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\Omega) \implies \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} \in H(\Omega)$$

and if $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \log\Omega(n)/n > 0$, then

$$(\Delta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\Omega) \implies \exists\, \epsilon > 0 : \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} \in H(e^{\epsilon|n|}).$$

The proofs are constructed by means of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n(z) & \beta_n^+(z) \\ \beta_n^-(z) & \alpha_n(z) \end{pmatrix},$$

which has the very important property that a number $\lambda = n + z$ with $|z| < 1/2$ is a periodic (if n is even) or antiperiodic (if n is odd) eigenvalue if and only if z is an eigenvalue of the matrix (see Lemma 21, [5]). The four entries of the matrix depend analytically on z and V. They are given explicitly in terms of the Fourier coefficients of V. The deviations $|\gamma_n| + |\delta_n^{Dir}|$ are estimated (see Theorem 66 in [5]) by the functionals $\beta_n^{\mp}(z)$ as follows

$$\frac{1}{144}(|\beta_n^-(z_n^*)| + |\beta_n^+(z_n^*)|) \le |\gamma_n| + |\delta_n^{Dir}| \le 54(|\beta_n^-(z_n^*)| + |\beta_n^+(z_n^*)|),$$

where $z_n^* = (\lambda_n^+ + \lambda_n^-)/2 - n$. This shows the significance of these functionals by means of their asymptotic equivalence with the sequence $|\gamma_n| + |\delta_n^{Dir}|$.

The functionals $\alpha_n(z)$ and $\beta_n^{\mp}(z)$ are also crucial in analysing the Riesz basis property of the Dirac operator. P. Djakov and B. Mityagin [6] have proved that the following three claims are equivalent:

(a) The Dirac operator L given by (1) with a potential V in $L^2([0,\pi])\times L^2([0,\pi])$ subject to periodic or antiperiodic boundary conditions has the Riesz basis property.

(b) $0 < \liminf\limits_{\gamma_n \neq 0} \frac{|\beta_n^-(z_n^*)|}{|\beta_n^+(z_n^*)|}$ and $\limsup\limits_{\gamma_n \neq 0} \frac{|\beta_n^-(z_n^*)|}{|\beta_n^+(z_n^*)|} < \infty$.

(c) $\sup\limits_{\gamma_n \neq 0} \frac{|\delta_n^{Dir}|}{|\gamma_n|} < \infty$.

Similar results concerning Riesz basis property are known for Schrödinger operators (see [6, 12, 3]).

In this paper are obtained new results on potential smoothness and Riesz basis property of one-dimensional Dirac operators. The following theorems give the main results.

Theorem 1. *If* $V \in L^2([0,\pi]) \times L^2([0,\pi])$, *then*

$$V \in H(\Omega) \implies (\Delta_n^{bc})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\Omega)$$

for each submultiplicative weight Ω, *where*

$$\Delta_n^{bc} = |\lambda_n^+ - \lambda_n^-| + |\lambda_n^+ - \mu_n^{bc}|.$$

Conversely, if $\Omega = (\Omega(n))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ *is a submultiplicative weight such that* $\frac{\log\Omega(n)}{n} \searrow 0$, *then*

$$(\Delta_n^{bc})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\Omega) \implies V \in H(\Omega).$$

Furthermore, if Ω *is a submultiplicative weight such that* $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log\Omega(n)}{n} > 0$, *then*

$$(\Delta_n^{bc})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\Omega) \implies \exists\, \epsilon > 0 :\ V \in H(e^{\epsilon|n|}).$$

Theorem 2. *If $V \in L^2([0,\pi]) \times L^2([0,\pi])$, then the Dirac operator (1) with periodic or antiperiodic boundary conditions has the Riesz basis property if and only if*

$$\sup_{\gamma_n \neq 0} \frac{|\delta_n^{bc}|}{|\gamma_n|} < \infty$$

holds, where $\delta_n^{bc} = \lambda_n^+ - \mu_n^{bc}$.

Primarily, the following theorem is proven as a generalization of Theorem 66 in [5].

Theorem 3. *For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with large enough $|n|$, there are constants $K_1 > 0$ and $K_2 > 0$, such that*

$$K_1(|\beta_n^-(z_n^*)|+|\beta_n^+(z_n^*)|) \leq |\lambda_n^+-\lambda_n^-|+|\mu_n^{bc}-\lambda_n^+| \leq K_2(|\beta_n^-(z_n^*)|+|\beta_n^+(z_n^*)|).$$

Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are not proven directly. However, their proofs are reduced to the proofs of Theorem 66 in [5] and Theorem 24 in [6], respectively, in which we make use of Theorem 3 that gives the asymptotic equivalence of $|\beta_n^-(z_n^*)|+|\beta_n^+(z_n^*)|$ and $|\lambda_n^+-\lambda_n^-|+|\mu_n^{bc}-\lambda_n^+|$.

Some of the necessary estimates in the proof of Theorem 3 are done by a method discovered by Ahmet Batal [1, 2] in the context of Schrödinger operators.

2. Preliminaries

A general boundary condition for the operator L is given by

$$\begin{aligned} a_1y_1(0) + b_1y_1(\pi) + a_2y_2(0) + b_2y_2(\pi) = 0,\\ c_1y_1(0) + d_1y_1(\pi) + c_2y_2(0) + d_2y_2(\pi) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i $(i = 1, 2)$ are complex numbers.

Let A_{ij} denote the square matrix whose first and second columns are the i^{th} and j^{th} columns of the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & a_2 & b_2 \\ c_1 & d_1 & c_2 & d_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

respectively and let $|A_{ij}|$ be the determinant of A_{ij}. If $|A_{14}| \neq 0$ and $|A_{23}| \neq 0$, then we say that the boundary condition given above is *regular*, if additionally $(|A_{13}| + |A_{24}|)^2 \neq 4|A_{14}||A_{23}|$ holds, it is called *strictly regular*.

Description of a family of special boundary conditions: Consider matrices of the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b & a & 0 \\ 0 & d & c & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{4}$$

where a, b, c, d are complex numbers. For every such matrix, the corresponding boundary condition $bc(A)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(0) + by_1(\pi) + ay_2(0) &= 0, \\ dy_1(\pi) + cy_2(0) + y_2(\pi) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

We consider the family of all such boundary conditions that satisfy also

$$b + c = 0, \quad ad = 1 - b^2 \tag{6}$$

with restriction $ad \neq 0$.

From now on, we denote by bc the boundary conditions given by (5) with restrictions (6). Observe that bc is strictly regular.

3. Localization of the spectra

We give the localization of the spectra of Dirac operator subject to three types of boundary conditions which are the general boundary conditions defined by (5) and (6), periodic and antiperiodic boundary conditions defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Periodic } (bc = Per^+): && y(0) = y(\pi), && \text{i.e. } y_1(0) = y_1(\pi) \text{ and } y_2(0) = y_2(\pi); \\ &\text{Antiperiodic } (bc = Per^-): && y(0) = -y(\pi), && \text{i.e. } y_1(0) = -y_1(\pi) \text{ and } y_2(0) = -y_2(\pi). \end{aligned}$$

We denote by L the Dirac operator subject to $Per^{\pm}$ and denote by L_{bc} the Dirac operator with general boundary conditions $bc = bc(A)$, where A is given by (4), (5) and (6). We also denote by L^0 and L^0_{bc} the corresponding free Dirac operators subject to $Per^{\pm}$ and (bc) when $V = 0$.

We consider L in the domain $dom(L)$, which consists of all absolutely continuous functions y such that $y' \in L^2([0,\pi]) \times L^2([0,\pi])$ and y satisfy $(Per^{\pm})$. Furthermore, we consider L_{bc} in the domain $dom(L_{bc})$, which consists of all absolutely continuous functions y such that $y' \in L^2([0,\pi]) \times L^2([0,\pi])$ and y satisfies bc.

Theorem 4. *The spectrum of the free operator L^0_{bc} is given by $sp(L^0_{bc}) = \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with large enough $|n|$, the disc $D_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - n| < 1/2\}$ contains one simple eigenvalue $\mu_n = \mu_n(bc)$ of the operator L_{bc}.*

Proof. First, we consider the equation

$$L^0_{bc}\, y = \lambda y, \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Its solution is of the form

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} \xi e^{-i\lambda x} \\ \zeta e^{i\lambda x} \end{pmatrix}.$$

To satisfy the general boundary conditions given by (5), (ξ, ζ) must be a solution of the linear system

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 + bz^{-1} & a \\ dz^{-1} & c + z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \xi \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{7}$$

where $z = e^{i\pi\lambda}$.

In order to have a non-zero solution (ξ, ζ) the determinant of the matrix in (7) has to be zero. So, we obtain

$$z^2 + (b + c)z + bc - ad = 0.$$

Together with the restrictions (6), we have $z^2 = 1$ which gives $z = \mp 1$. Hence, one may conclude that $sp(L^0_{bc}) = \mathbb{Z}$ since the only solutions to the equation $\mp 1 = e^{i\pi\lambda}$ are integers. The second result comes from Theorem 5.3 of [4] since bc is strictly regular.

□

4. The eigenvectors of the free operators

The adjoint boundary conditions (bc^*) are given by the matrix (see Lemma 3.4 in [4])

$$A^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \tilde{b} & \tilde{a} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{d} & \tilde{c} & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\overline{c} & \overline{d} & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{a} & -\overline{b} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{8}$$

due to the restrictions (6), where

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{b} & \tilde{a} \\ \tilde{d} & \tilde{c} \end{bmatrix} = \left(\begin{bmatrix} b & a \\ d & c \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \right)^*.$$

Furthermore, we observe that the adjoint boundary conditions are also in the family of general boundary conditions given by (5) and (6) since

$$\tilde{b} + \tilde{c} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{a}\tilde{d} = 1 - \tilde{b}^2.$$

Hence, by Theorem 4 we get that the eigenvalues of $(L^0_{bc})^*$ are also integers.

Hence, all eigenfunctions corresponding to an eigenvalue $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ are

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_n e^{-inx} \\ B_n e^{inx} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{9}$$

where

$$A_n = \frac{1-(-1)^n\overline{b}}{\sqrt{|a|^2+|1-(-1)^n\overline{b}|^2}}\,, \quad B_n = \frac{-\overline{a}}{\sqrt{|a|^2+|1-(-1)^n\overline{b}|^2}} \tag{10}$$

so that $|A_n|^2+|B_n|^2=1$.

Since the adjoint boundary conditions bc^* are strictly regular,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|P_{n,bc^*}-P^0_{n,bc^*}\|=0,$$

due to Theorem 6.1 in [4], where

$$P_{n,bc^*}=\int_{\partial D_n}(\lambda-L_{bc^*})^{-1}d\lambda, \quad P^0_{n,bc^*}=\int_{\partial D_n}(\lambda-L^0_{bc^*})^{-1}d\lambda$$

are the Cauchy-Riesz projections, $D_n=\{z\in\mathbb{C}:|z-n|<1/2\}$ and ∂D_n is the boundary of D_n. Notice that $L^0_{bc^*}=(L^0_{bc})^*$ and $L_{bc^*}=(L_{bc})^*$ (see Lemma 3.4 in [4]).

Similarly, we have the Cauchy-Riesz projections P_n and P^0_n associated with Dirac operator L with periodic boundary conditions if n is even and antiperiodic boundary conditions if n is odd, where $dim(P_n)=dim(P^0_n)=2$ due to Theorem 18 in [5]. Furthermore,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|P_n-P^0_n\|=0$$

by Proposition 19 in [5] and for large enough $|n|$, the operator $L=L^0+V$ has two eigenvalues λ^+_n and λ^-_n (which are periodic for even n and antiperiodic for odd n) such that $|\lambda^\pm_n-n|\leq 1/4$ as a result of Theorem 17 in [5].

Furthermore, the spectrum of the free operator L^0 subject to periodic boundary condition is $2\mathbb{Z}$ and each $n\in 2\mathbb{Z}$ is a double eigenvalue and the corresponding eigenvectors are

$$e^1_n(x)=\begin{pmatrix}e^{-inx}\\0\end{pmatrix}, \quad e^2_n(x)=\begin{pmatrix}0\\e^{inx}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{11}$$

Similarly, the spectrum of the free operator L^0 subject to antiperiodic boundary condition is $2\mathbb{Z}+1$ and each $n\in 2\mathbb{Z}+1$ is a double eigenvalue and the corresponding eigenvectors are obtained by the same formulae (11). So, we may write $E^0_n=Span\{e^1_n,e^2_n\}$ for all $n\in\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, we may also write $E_n=\text{Range}(P_n)$ and $E^0_n=\text{Range}(P^0_n)$ for the eigenspaces of the operators L and L^0, respectively.

5. Estimates for $|\mu_n - \lambda_n^+|$

The Dirac operator $L = L^0 + V$ has two eigenvalues λ_n^+ and λ_n^- (periodic for even n and antiperiodic for odd n) in the disc centered at $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ of radius $1/4$ for large enough $|n|$ (Theorem 17 and Theorem 18 in [5]). We denote by λ_n^+ the eigenvalue with larger real part or the one with larger imaginary part if the real parts are equal and we put $\gamma_n = \lambda_n^+ - \lambda_n^-$.

From Lemma 59 in [5], for sufficiently large $|n|$, there is a pair of vectors $f_n, \varphi_n \in E_n$ such that

(1) $\|f_n\| = 1, \|\varphi_n\| = 1, \langle f_n, \varphi_n \rangle = 0$
(2) $Lf_n = \lambda_n^+ f_n$
(3) $L\varphi_n = \lambda_n^+ \varphi_n - \gamma_n \varphi_n + \xi_n f_n$

for some sequence $(\xi_n) \in \mathbb{C}$.

Now, let ℓ_0 and ℓ_1 be the functionals from $C([0,\pi]) \times C([0,\pi])$ to $\mathbb{C}$, defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_0(s) &= s_1(0) + bs_1(\pi) + as_2(0), \\ \ell_1(s) &= ds_1(\pi) + cs_2(0) + s_2(\pi), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$s(x) = \begin{pmatrix} s_1(x) \\ s_2(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We start with a crucial lemma which gives us the restrictions on those regular boundary conditions by which Dirichlet condition in [5] could be replaced. Furthermore, this will lead to an equation that determines the way we estimate $|\mu_n - \lambda_n^+|$.

Lemma 5. *For large enough $|n|$ there is vector $G_n \in E_n$ of the form*

$$G_n = s_n f_n + t_n \varphi_n, \quad \|G_n\| = |s_n|^2 + |t_n|^2 = 1$$

such that

$$\ell_0(G_n) = 0, \quad \ell_1(G_n) = 0$$

if the general boundary conditions (5) satisfy (6).

Proof. It will be enough to prove that the system of linear equations

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_0(s_n f_n + t_n \varphi_n) = 0 \\ \ell_1(s_n f_n + t_n \varphi_n) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

has a non-trivial solution if $b + c = 0$ and $ad = 1 - b^2$ hold.

Now, the system can be written as follows

$$\begin{aligned} s_n f_n^1(0) + t_n \varphi_n^1(0) + b(s_n f_n^1(\pi) + t_n \varphi_n^1(\pi)) + a(s_n f_n^2(0) + t_n \varphi_n^2(0)) &= 0 \\ d(s_n f_n^1(\pi) + t_n \varphi_n^1(\pi)) + c(s_n f_n^2(0) + t_n \varphi_n^2(0)) + s_n f_n^2(\pi) + t_n \varphi_n^2(\pi) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_n = \begin{pmatrix} f_n^1 \\ f_n^2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \quad \varphi_n = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_n^1 \\ \varphi_n^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, since f_n and φ_n satisfy periodic boundary conditions, we can reduce the above system to

$$\begin{pmatrix} (1+b)f_n^1(0) + af_n^2(0) & (1+b)\varphi_n^1(0) + a\varphi_n^2(0) \\ df_n^1(0) + (1+c)f_n^2(0) & d\varphi_n^1(0) + (1+c)\varphi_n^2(0) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_n \\ t_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{13}$$

The restrictions (6) leads to the fact that $(1+b)(1+c)-ad=0$. Then,

$$[(1+b)(1+c)-ad].[f_n^1(0)\varphi_n^2(0) - f_n^2(0)\varphi_n^1(0)] = 0,$$

which is the determinant of the matrix corresponding to the system (13). In a similar way from the antiperiodic case of f and φ, we get

$$[(1-b)(1-c)-ad].[f_n^1(0)\varphi_n^2(0) - f_n^2(0)\varphi_n^1(0)] = 0,$$

which is the corresponding determinant. Hence, if $b+c=0$ and $ad = 1-b^2$, then we have a non-trivial solution to the system (12). □

Remark 6. *The converse of Lemma 5 is also true, but we will not use this fact. In order to prove it, one may check that*

$$f_n^1(0)\varphi_n^2(0) - f_n^2(0)\varphi_n^1(0) \neq 0$$

for large enough $|n|$ by making use of Remark (10) and (31).

As seen by the proof of the previous lemma, we can write G_n as

$$G_n = \tau_n(\ell_0(\varphi_n)f_n - \ell_0(f_n)\varphi_n), \tag{14}$$

where

$$\tau_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\ell_0(\varphi_n)|^2 + |\ell_0(f_n)|^2}}.$$

We also write $G_n = s_n f_n + t_n \varphi_n$, where $s_n = \tau_n \ell_0(\varphi_n)$ and $t_n = -\tau_n \ell_0(f_n)$.

Now, since G_n is in the domain of L_{bc} and L, we can continue to write

$$\begin{aligned} L_{bc}G_n &= LG_n = s_n.Lf_n + t_n.L\varphi_n = s_n\lambda_n^+ f_n + t_n(\lambda_n^+\varphi_n - \gamma_n\varphi_n + \xi_n f_n) \\ &= \lambda_n^+(s_n f_n + t_n\varphi_n) + t_n(\xi_n f_n - \gamma_n\varphi_n) = \lambda_n^+ G_n + t_n(\xi_n f_n - \gamma_n\varphi_n). \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$LG_n = \lambda_n^+ G_n + t_n(\xi_n f_n - \gamma_n\varphi_n). \tag{15}$$

Let $\tilde{g}_n$ be a unit eigenvector of the adjoint operator $(L_{bc})^*$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\overline{\mu_n}$, where μ_n is the eigenvalue of L_{bc} in a circle with center n and radius $1/4$.

Taking inner products of both sides of the equation (15) by $\tilde{g}_n$ we obtain

$$\langle LG_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle = \lambda_n^+\langle G_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle + t_n(\xi_n\langle f_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle - \gamma_n\langle \varphi_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle). \tag{16}$$

We also have

$$\langle LG_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle = \langle L_{bc}G_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle = \langle G_n, (L_{bc})^*\tilde{g}_n\rangle = \langle G_n, \overline{\mu_n}\tilde{g}_n\rangle = \mu_n\langle G_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle. \tag{17}$$

The equality of (16) and (17) leads to

$$(\mu_n - \lambda_n^+)\langle G_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle = t_n(\xi_n\langle f_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle - \gamma_n\langle \varphi_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle). \tag{18}$$

The equation (18) is important that our proof of the estimation for $|\mu_n - \lambda_n^+|$ will be based on the approximations for each remaining term in (18).

Note that for large enough $|n|$, since $f_n \in E_n$ and P_n is a projection onto E_n we have $P_n f_n = f_n$. So,

$$\|P_n^0 f_n\| = \|P_n f_n - (P_n - P_n^0)f_n\| \geq \|f_n\| - \|P_n - P_n^0\| = 1 - \|P_n - P_n^0\|.$$

Since $\|P_n - P_n^0\|$ is sufficiently small we have that $P_n^0 f_n \neq 0$.

Now, we introduce notations for the projections of the eigenvectors of Dirac operator under periodic (or antiperiodic) boundary conditions and adjoint boundary conditions (bc^*) given by (8):

$$f_n^0 = \frac{P_n^0 f_n}{\|P_n^0 f_n\|}, \quad \varphi_n^0 = \frac{P_n^0 \varphi_n}{\|P_n^0 \varphi_n\|}, \quad \tilde{g}_n^0 = \frac{P_{n,bc^*}^0 \tilde{g}_n}{\|P_{n,bc^*}^0 \tilde{g}_n\|}.$$

Also, we may put

$$f_n^0 = f_{n,1}^0 e_n^1 + f_{n,2}^0 e_n^2\ , \quad \varphi_n^0 = \varphi_{n,1}^0 e_n^1 + \varphi_{n,2}^0 e_n^2\ .$$

Lemma 7. *In the above notations, for large enough $|n|$ we have*

$$\|g_n - \tilde{g}_n^0\| \leq 2\|P_{n,bc^*} - P_{n,bc^*}^0\|, \quad \|f_n - f_n^0\| \leq 2\|P_n - P_n^0\|, \quad \|\varphi_n - \varphi_n^0\| \leq 2\|P_n - P_n^0\|.$$

Proof. Observe that $P_{n,bc^*}\tilde{g}_n = \tilde{g}_n$ since P_{n,bc^*} is a projection onto the one-dimensional eigenspace generated by $\tilde{g}_n$. Now, we estimate $\|P_{n,bc^*}^0 \tilde{g}_n\|$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_{n,bc^*}^0 \tilde{g}_n\| &= \|P_{n,bc^*}^0 \tilde{g}_n - P_{n,bc^*}\tilde{g}_n + P_{n,bc^*}\tilde{g}_n\| \\
&\geq \|P_{n,bc^*}\tilde{g}_n\| - \|(P_{n,bc^*} - P_{n,bc^*}^0)\tilde{g}_n\| \\
&\geq \|\tilde{g}_n\| - \|P_{n,bc^*} - P_{n,bc^*}^0\|
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|P^0_{n,bc^*}\tilde{g}_n\| &= \|P^0_{n,bc^*}\tilde{g}_n - P_{n,bc^*}\tilde{g}_n + P_{n,bc^*}\tilde{g}_n\| \\ &\leq \|(P_{n,bc^*} - P^0_{n,bc^*})\tilde{g}_n\| + \|P_{n,bc^*}\tilde{g}_n\| \\ &\leq \|P_{n,bc^*} - P^0_{n,bc^*}\| + \|\tilde{g}_n\|\end{aligned}$$

We get from the above inequalities that $|\|P^0_{n,bc^*}\tilde{g}_n\| - 1| \leq \|P_{n,bc^*} - P^0_{n,bc^*}\|$ since $\|\tilde{g}_n\| = 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\tilde{g}_n - g^0_n\| &\leq \|\tilde{g}_n - P^0_{n,bc^*}\tilde{g}_n\| + \|P^0_{n,bc^*}\tilde{g}_n - g^0_n\| \\ &= \|P_{n,bc^*}\tilde{g}_n - P^0_{n,bc^*}\tilde{g}_n\| + \|\|P^0_{n,bc^*}\tilde{g}_n\|.g^0_n - g^0_n\| \\ &\leq \|(P_{n,bc^*} - P^0_{n,bc^*})\tilde{g}_n\| + |\|P^0_{n,bc^*}\tilde{g}_n\| - 1|.\|g^0_n\| \\ &\leq 2\|P_{n,bc^*} - P^0_{n,bc^*}\|.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we get the other inequalities. □

Lemma 8. $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |\lambda^{\mp}_n - n|^2 < \infty$ *and* $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |\lambda^+_n - \lambda^-_n|^2 < \infty$.

Proof. Our proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 6.5 in [4]. First, we prove that $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |\lambda^+_n - n|^2 < \infty$. Consider the eigenfunctions e^1_n and e^2_n of the free operator with periodic condition and antiperiodic condition. Now, we have

$$\lambda^+_n\langle f_n, e^1_n\rangle = \langle Lf_n, e^1_n\rangle = \langle L^0 f_n, e^1_n\rangle + \langle Vf_n, e^1_n\rangle$$

and recalling that L^0 is self-adjoint we obtain

$$\langle L^0 f_n, e^1_n\rangle = \langle f_n, L^0 e^1_n\rangle = \langle f_n, ne^1_n\rangle = n\langle f_n, e^1_n\rangle.$$

From these two equalities, we can write

$$(\lambda^+_n - n)\langle f_n, e^1_n\rangle = \langle Vf_n, e^1_n\rangle.$$

In a similar way, we get

$$(\lambda^+_n - n)\langle f_n, e^2_n\rangle = \langle Vf_n, e^2_n\rangle.$$

The last two equalities lead to

$$|\lambda^+_n - n|^2(|\langle f_n, e^1_n\rangle|^2 + |\langle f_n, e^2_n\rangle|^2) = |\langle Vf_n, e^1_n\rangle|^2 + |\langle Vf_n, e^2_n\rangle|^2. \tag{19}$$

Now,

$$\langle f_n, e^1_n\rangle = \langle f_n - f^0_n, e^1_n\rangle + \langle f^0_n, e^1_n\rangle.$$

Since

$$|\langle f_n - f^0_n, e^1_n\rangle| \leq \|f_n - f^0_n\| \leq 2\|P_n - P^0_n\|,$$

by Lemma 7, we have

$$\langle f_n, e^1_n\rangle = f^0_{n,1} + O(\|P_n - P^0_n\|).$$

A similar argument gives

$$\langle f_n, e_n^2\rangle = f_{n,2}^0 + O(\|P_n - P_n^0\|).$$

We obtain that

$$|\langle f_n, e_n^1\rangle|^2 + |\langle f_n, e_n^2\rangle|^2 \to |f_{n,1}^0|^2 + |f_{n,2}^0|^2 = 1$$

as $n \to \infty$.

Now, if we consider the equation (19), it is clear that for large enough $|n|$

$$|\lambda_n^+ - n|^2 \leq 2(|\langle Vf_n, e_n^1\rangle|^2 + |\langle Vf_n, e_n^2\rangle|^2). \tag{20}$$

We obtain an estimation for the first term of the right hand side of the above inequality as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Vf_n, e_n^1\rangle &= \langle V(f_{n,2}^0 e_n^2), e_n^1\rangle + \langle V(f_n - f_{n,2}^0 e_n^2), e_n^1\rangle \\ &= \frac{f_{n,2}^0}{\pi}\int_0^\pi \mathcal{P}(x)e^{-2inx}dx + \langle f_n - f_n^0, V^*e_n^1\rangle + \langle f_n^0 - f_{n,2}^0 e_n^2, V^*e_n^1\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\langle f_n^0 - f_{n,2}^0 e_n^2, V^*e_n^1\rangle = \langle f_{n,1}^0 e_n^1, V^*e_n^1\rangle = 0$$

and

$$|\langle f_n - f_n^0, V^*e_n^1\rangle| \leq \|f_n - f_n^0\|.\|V^*e_n^1\| \leq 2\|P_n - P_n^0\|.\|\mathcal{P}\|,$$

we have

$$|\langle Vf_n, e_n^1\rangle| \leq |p(n)| + 2\|\mathcal{P}\|.\|P_n - P_n^0\|, \tag{21}$$

and in a similar way, we can get

$$|\langle Vf_n, e_n^2\rangle| \leq |q(-n)| + 2\|\mathcal{Q}\|.\|P_n - P_n^0\|, \tag{22}$$

where $p(n)$ and $q(n)$ are the Fourier coefficients of $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$. Observe that the sequences $|p(n)|$ and $|q(n)|$ are square summable since $p(n)$ and $q(n)$ are Fourier coefficients. In addition, $\|P_n - P_n^0\|$ is also square summable due to Theorem 7.1 in [4] since periodic and antiperiodic boundary conditions are regular. Hence, from (20),(21) and (22) we get $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_n^\mp - n|^2 < \infty$. The proof of $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_n^- - n|^2 < \infty$ is also similar and $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_n^+ - \lambda_n^-|^2 < \infty$ comes from the triangle inequality. □

The next proposition gives estimates for $|\ell_i(f_n - f_n^0)|$ and $|\ell_i(\varphi_n - \varphi_n^0)|$, $i = 0, 1$. The technique used in the proof of the proposition is based on a method developed by A. Batal (see Proposition 2.9 in [1] and Proposition 10 in [2]).

Proposition 9. *In the notation used above, there exists a sequence of positive real numbers* (κ_n) *such that* $\kappa_n \to 0$ *and*

$$|\ell_0(f_n - f_n^0)| \leq \kappa_n, \tag{23}$$

$$|\ell_0(\varphi_n - \varphi_n^0)| \leq \kappa_n. \tag{24}$$

Proof. To obtain a clear notation, we fix and suppress the notation n for the eigenvectors and put

$$f = f_n, \quad f^0 = f_n^0, \quad \varphi^0 = \varphi_n^0$$

and

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f^0 = \begin{pmatrix} f_1^0 \\ f_2^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1^0 \\ \varphi_2^0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, it will be enough to find a sequence (κ_n) converging to zero such that

$$|f_i(0) - f_i^0(0)| \leq \kappa_n \tag{25}$$

and

$$|\varphi_i(0) - \varphi_i^0(0)| \leq \kappa_n \tag{26}$$

for $i = 1, 2$.

We have $Lf = \lambda_n^+ f$, and $i(f_1^0)' = nf_1^0$. Subtracting these equations, we obtain

$$n(f_1 - f_1^0) = i(f_1 - f_1^0)' + \mathcal{P}f_2 - z_n^+ f_1,$$

where $z_n^+ = \lambda_n^+ - n$.

Now, we assume that n is even, then we have periodic eigenfunctions in the equation. We multiply both sides by $e^{i(n+1)x}$ and apply integration by parts on the first term of the right side. Then, we obtain

$$2i(f_1 - f_1^0)(0) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \tag{27}$$

where

$$I_1 = -\int_0^\pi e^{i(n+1)x}(f_1 - f_1^0)(x)dx, \quad I_2 = \int_0^\pi e^{i(n+1)x}\mathcal{P}(x)f_2(x)dx$$

and

$$I_3 = -\int_0^\pi z_n^+ e^{i(n+1)x} f_1(x)dx.$$

For I_1, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Lemma 7 we have

$$|I_1| \leq \|f_1 - f_1^0\| \leq \|f - f^0\| \leq \kappa_n.$$

To estimate I_2 recall that $f_2^0 = C^0 e^{inx}$ for some constant C^0. Since $\|f^0\| = 1$, we get $|C^0| \leq 1$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq \int_0^\pi |e^{i(n+1)x}\mathcal{P}(x)(P_n - P_n^0)(f_2)(x)|dx + |\int_0^\pi e^{i(n+1)x}\mathcal{P}(x)f_2^0(x)dx| \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{P}\|.\|(P_n - P_n^0)\| + |C^0\int_0^\pi e^{i(n+1)x}e^{inx}\mathcal{P}(x)dx| \end{aligned}$$

The last term is a Fourier coefficient of the L^2-function $\mathcal{P}(x)$ which tends to zero as $n \to \infty$.

To obtain similar result for I_3, we immediately see that $|I_3| \leq |z_n^+| = |\lambda_n^+ - n|$ and $z_n^+ \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ due to Lemma 8. Hence, by (27) we get that $|(f_1 - f_1^0)(0)|$ tends to zero.

Estimation method for $(f_2 - f_2^0)(0)$ can be continued by multiplying both sides of the equation

$$n(f_2 - f_2^0) = -i(f_2 - f_2^0)' + Qf_1 - z_n^+ f_2$$

by $e^{-i(n+1)x}$, and all the remaining argument is similar. So, this proves (23).

Now, we recall that

$$L\varphi = \lambda^+\varphi - \gamma_n\varphi + \xi_n f, \quad L^0\varphi^0 = n\varphi^0.$$

We subtract the second equation from the first equation and write the equation of the first components

$$i(\varphi_1 - \varphi_1^0)' + \mathcal{P}\varphi_2 = n(\varphi_1 - \varphi_1^0) + z_n^+\varphi_1 - \gamma_n\varphi_1 + \xi_n f_1. \tag{28}$$

After multiplying both sides of (28) by $e^{i(n+1)x}$, we integrate and obtain

$$-2i(\varphi_1 - \varphi_1^0)(0) = J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4 + J_5,$$

where

$$J_1 = -\int_0^\pi e^{i(n+1)x}(\varphi_1 - \varphi_1^0)(x)dx, \quad J_2 = -\int_0^\pi e^{i(n+1)x}\mathcal{P}(x)\varphi_2(x)dx,$$

$$J_3 = z_n^+\int_0^\pi e^{i(n+1)x}\varphi_1(x)dx, \quad J_4 = -\gamma_n\int_0^\pi e^{i(n+1)x}\varphi_1(x)dx$$

and

$$J_5 = \xi_n\int_0^\pi e^{i(n+1)x}f_1(x)dx.$$

The estimations for J_1, J_2 and J_3 are very similar to those for I_1, I_2 and I_3 respectively.

Lemma 40 together with Proposition 35 in [5] gives that $\gamma_n \to 0$, additionally Lemma 59, Lemma 60 and Proposition 35 in [5] imply that $\xi_n \to 0$ as n goes to infinity. So, J_4 and J_5 are also dominated by a sequence converging to zero. Hence, $|(\varphi_1 - \varphi_1^0)(0)|$ tends to zero.

To estimate $|(\varphi_2-\varphi_2^0)(0)|$, we follow similar calculations using $e^{-i(n+1)x}$ instead of $e^{i(n+1)x}$. Furthermore, the way we prove the result for the case when n is odd is also similar. □

Remark 10. *In view of (25) and (26)*

$$|f_n^i(0) - f_{n,i}^0| < \kappa_n \quad \text{and} \quad |\varphi_n^i(0) - \varphi_{n,i}^0| < \kappa_n$$

for $i = 1, 2$, *where* $\kappa_n \to 0$

There are functionals $\alpha_n(V;z)$ and $\beta_n^{\pm}(V;z)$ defined for large enough $|n|$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|z| < 1/2$ such that $\lambda = n + z$ is (periodic if n is even or antiperiodic if n is odd) eigenvalue of L if and only if z is an eigenvalue of the matrix $S(\lambda)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n(V;z) & \beta_n^-(V;z) \\ \beta_n^+(V;z) & \alpha_n(V;z) \end{pmatrix}.$$

by Lemma 21 in [5]. Furthermore, $z_n^{\pm} = \lambda_n^{\pm} - n$ are the only solutions of the basic equation

$$(z - \alpha_n(V;z))^2 = \beta_n^-(V;z)\beta_n^+(V;z),$$

where $|z| < 1/2$. If $Lf_n = \lambda_n^+ f_n$ then f_n^0 is an eigenvector of the operator $L^0 + S(\lambda_n^+) : E_n^0 \to E_n^0$ with a corresponding eigenvalue λ_n^+, and one may write the following system

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_n^+ - \alpha_n(z_n^+) & -\beta_n^-(z_n^+) \\ -\beta_n^+(z_n^+) & z_n^+ - \alpha_n(z_n^+) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_{n,1}^0 \\ f_{n,2}^0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{29}$$

So, we get

$$(z_n^+ - \alpha_n(z_n^+))^2 = \beta_n^-(z_n^+).\beta_n^+(z_n^+). \tag{30}$$

We put $\varphi_n^0 = \varphi_{n,1}^0 e_n^1 + \varphi_{n,2}^0 e_n^2$. Let $\varphi_n^0 = c_1 f_n^0 + c_2 (f_n^0)^{\perp}$, where

$$(f_n^0)^{\perp} = \overline{f_{n,2}^0} e_n^1 - \overline{f_{n,1}^0} e_n^2.$$

Then,

$$c_1 = \langle \varphi_n^0, f_n^0 \rangle = \langle \varphi_n^0 - \varphi_n, f_n \rangle + \langle \varphi_n^0, f_n^0 - f_n \rangle = O(\kappa_n),$$

and

$$|c_2| = \sqrt{1 - |c_1|^2} = 1 + O(\kappa_n).$$

Hence, without loss of generality we may write

$$\varphi_{n,1}^0 = \overline{f_{n,2}^0} + O(\kappa_n)\ , \quad \varphi_{n,2}^0 = -\overline{f_{n,1}^0} + O(\kappa_n)\ . \tag{31}$$

We have $\tilde{g}_n^0 = e^{i\theta} g_n^0$ for some θ because the eigenspace of the free operator under general boundary conditions is one dimensional as stated in Theorem 4. Without loss of generality, we can put $g_n^0 = \tilde{g}_n^0$.

The following two equations are due to Proposition 9:

$$\ell_0(f_n) = \ell_0(f_n^0) + O(\kappa_n)\ , \quad \ell_0(\varphi_n) = \ell_0(\varphi_n^0) + O(\kappa_n) \tag{32}$$

By Lemma 7, we also obtain other estimations as

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle - \langle f_n^0, g_n^0\rangle| &\le |\langle f_n - f_n^0, \tilde{g}_n\rangle| + |\langle f_n^0, \tilde{g}_n - g_n^0\rangle| \\ &\le \|f_n - f_n^0\|.\|\tilde{g}_n\| + \|f_n^0\|.\|\tilde{g}_n - g_n^0\| \le 2\kappa_n, \end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa_n \to 0$. Similarly, we get

$$|\langle \varphi_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle - \langle \varphi_n^0, g_n^0\rangle| \le 2\kappa_n.$$

Hence, one may write

$$\langle f_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle = \langle f_n^0, g_n^0\rangle + O(\kappa_n), \quad \langle \varphi_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle = \langle \varphi_n^0, g_n^0\rangle + O(\kappa_n).$$

Now, let us write g_n^0 as

$$g_n^0 = A_n e_n^1 + B_n e_n^2.$$

Together with (32), we have

$$\ell_0(\varphi_n) = (1 + (-1)^n b)\varphi_{n,1}^0 + a\varphi_{n,2}^0 + O(\kappa_n).$$

So, we conclude that

$$\ell_0(\varphi_n) = (1 + (-1)^n b)\overline{f_{n,2}^0} - a\overline{f_{n,1}^0} + O(\kappa_n) \tag{33}$$

$$\ell_0(f_n) = (1 + (-1)^n b) f_{n,1}^0 + a f_{n,2}^0 + O(\kappa_n) \tag{34}$$

$$\langle \varphi_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle = \overline{A_n f_{n,2}^0} - \overline{B_n f_{n,1}^0} + O(\kappa_n) \tag{35}$$

$$\langle f_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle = \overline{A_n} f_{n,1}^0 + \overline{B_n} f_{n,2}^0 + O(\kappa_n). \tag{36}$$

We now get a nonzero approximation for $\langle G_n, \tilde{g}\rangle$.

Lemma 11. *$\tau_n^{-1}\langle G_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle = C + O(\kappa_n)$ for some constant $C \neq 0$, where C depends on the general boundary conditions given by (5) and (6) with the restriction $ad \neq 0$.*

Proof. Recall (14) as

$$\tau_n^{-1}\langle G_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle = \ell_0(\varphi_n)\langle f_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle - \ell_0(f_n)\langle \varphi_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle.$$

We substitute all the estimations found by (33), (34), (35) and (36) into the equation above, and after an easy calculation, obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_n^{-1}\langle G_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle &= [(1+(-1)^n b)\overline{f_{n,2}^0} - a\overline{f_{n,1}^0} + O(\kappa_n)].[\overline{A_n} f_{n,1}^0 + \overline{B_n} f_{n,2}^0 + O(\kappa_n)] \\
&\quad - [(1+(-1)^n b) f_{n,1}^0 + a f_{n,2}^0 + O(\kappa_n)].[\overline{A_n f_{n,2}^0} - \overline{B_n f_{n,1}^0} + O(\kappa_n)] \\
&= [(1+(-1)^n b)\overline{B_n} - a\overline{A_n}]|f_{n,1}^0|^2 + [(1+(-1)^n b)\overline{B_n} - a\overline{A_n}]|f_{n,2}^0|^2 + O(\kappa_n) \\
&= (1+(-1)^n b)\overline{B_n} - a\overline{A_n} + O(\kappa_n).
\end{aligned}$$

By (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_n^{-1}\langle G_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle &= (1+(-1)^n b)\overline{B_n} - a\overline{A_n} + O(\kappa_n) \\
&= (1+(-1)^n b)\frac{\overline{-\overline{a}}}{\sqrt{|a|^2 + |1-(-1)^n b|^2}} - a\frac{\overline{1-(-1)^n \overline{b}}}{\sqrt{|a|^2 + |1-(-1)^n b|^2}} + O(\kappa_n) \\
&= \frac{-2a}{\sqrt{|a|^2 + |1-(-1)^n b|^2}} + O(\kappa_n).
\end{aligned}$$

So, the result follows because $a \neq 0$. □

Proposition 12. *There are constants $D_1, D_2 > 0$ such that for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with large enough $|n|$,*

$$|\mu_n - \lambda_n^+| \leq D_1|\gamma_n| + D_2(|B_n^+| + |B_n^-|),$$

where $B_n^\pm = \beta_n^\pm(z_n^+)$.

Proof. We consider the equation (18). We assume that $0 < \kappa_n \leq \frac{|C|}{2}$. We multiply both sides of the equation (18) by τ_n^{-1} and get

$$\tau_n^{-1}(\mu_n - \lambda_n^+)\langle G_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle = \tau_n^{-1} t_n(\xi_n\langle f_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle - \gamma_n\langle \varphi_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle). \tag{37}$$

Lemma 11 guarantees that we may divide both sides of (37) by $\tau_n^{-1}\langle G_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle$. We also have the inequality

$$|\xi_n| \leq 4|\gamma_n| + 2(|B_n^+| + |B_n^-|) \tag{38}$$

due to Lemma 59 and Lemma 60 in [5].

Note that since $|A_n|^2 + |B_n|^2 = 1$ and $|f_{n,1}^0|^2 + |f_{n,2}^0|^2 = 1$, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality $|\overline{A_n f_{n,2}^0} - \overline{B_n f_{n,1}^0}| \leq 1$ and $|\overline{A_n} f_{n,1}^0 + \overline{B_n} f_{n,2}^0| \leq 1$.

Then, we obtain the following inequality by using the estimations (33), (35), (36) and (38):

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mu_n-\lambda_n^+| &= \frac{|\tau_n^{-1}||t_n(\xi_n\langle f_n,\tilde{g}_n\rangle-\gamma_n\langle\varphi_n,\tilde{g}_n\rangle)|}{|\tau_n^{-1}||\langle G_n,\tilde{g}_n\rangle|}\\
&= \frac{|\ell_0(f_n)||(\xi_n\langle f_n,\tilde{g}_n\rangle-\gamma_n\langle\varphi_n,\tilde{g}_n\rangle)|}{|\tau_n^{-1}||\langle G_n,\tilde{g}_n\rangle|}\\
&\le \frac{(|\ell_0(f_n^0)|+\kappa_n)[|\xi_n|(|\overline{A_n}f_{n,1}^0+\overline{B_n}f_{n,2}^0|+\kappa_n)+|\gamma_n|(|\overline{A_nf_{n,2}^0}-\overline{B_nf_{n,1}^0}|+\kappa_n)]}{|C|-\kappa_n}\\
&\le \left(\frac{|(1+(-1)^nb)f_{n,1}^0+af_{n,2}^0|+\frac{|C|}{2}}{\frac{|C|}{2}}\right)(1+|C|)|\xi_n|\\
&+ \left(\frac{|(1+(-1)^nb)f_{n,1}^0+af_{n,2}^0|+\frac{|C|}{2}}{\frac{|C|}{2}}\right)(1+|C|)|\gamma_n|.
\end{aligned}$$

So, we get the result as

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mu_n-\lambda_n^+| &\le \left(\frac{2+2|b|+2|a|+|C|}{|C|}\right)(1+|C|)|\xi_n|+\left(\frac{2|1+b|+2|a|+|C|}{|C|}\right)(1+|C|)|\gamma_n|\\
&\le \left(\frac{2+2|b|+2|a|+|C|}{|C|}\right)(1+|C|)(4|\gamma_n|+2(|B_n^+|+|B_n^-|))\\
&+ \left(\frac{2+2|b|+2|a|+|C|}{|C|}\right)(1+|C|)|\gamma_n|\\
&= D_1|\gamma_n|+D_2(|B_n^+|+|B_n^-|),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_1=5\cdot\left(\frac{2+2|b|+2|a|+|C|}{|C|}\right)(1+|C|) \quad \text{and} \quad D_2=2\cdot\left(\frac{2+2|b|+2|a|+|C|}{|C|}\right)(1+|C|).$$

□

6. Estimation for $|\mu_n-\lambda_n^+|+|\lambda_n^+-\lambda_n^-|$

We start with a generalized version of Proposition 63 in [5].

Proposition 13. *Let $M>1$ be a fixed number, then for $n\in Z$ with sufficiently large $|n|$ if*

$$\frac{1}{M}|B_n^-|\le|B_n^+|\le M|B_n^-| \tag{39}$$

then

$$|\beta_n^-(z_n^*)| + |\beta_n^+(z_n^*)| \leq \frac{1+M}{\sqrt{M}}|\gamma_n|,$$

where $B_n^\pm = \beta_n^\pm(z_n^+)$ *and* $z_n^* = (\lambda_n^+ + \lambda_n^-)/2 - n$ *in the case of simple eigenvalues and* $z_n^* = \lambda_n^+ - n$ *otherwise.*

Proof. We mainly follow the proof of Propositon 63 in [5].

The case $B_n^+ = B_n^- = 0$ is explained in the proof of Proposition 63 in [5]. Assume $B_n^+ B_n^- \neq 0$ and $\gamma_n \neq 0$. Since $t_n = \frac{|B_n^+|}{|B_n^-|} \in [\frac{1}{M}, M]$, we have

$$\sqrt{M} - \sqrt{t_n} \geq \frac{\sqrt{M} - \sqrt{t_n}}{\sqrt{t_n}.\sqrt{M}}$$

because $1 \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_n M}}$. Then, we get

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} + \sqrt{M} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_n}} + \sqrt{t_n}$$

which leads to

$$\frac{2\sqrt{t_n}}{1+t_n} \geq \frac{2\sqrt{M}}{1+M}.$$

In Lemma 49 in [5] we take

$$\delta_n < \frac{\sqrt{M}}{1+M}$$

for sufficiently large $|n|$, then

$$|\gamma_n| \geq (\frac{2\sqrt{t_n}}{1+t_n} - \delta_n)(|\beta_n^-(z_n^*)| + |\beta_n^+(z_n^*)|) \geq (\frac{2\sqrt{M}}{1+M} - \frac{\sqrt{M}}{1+M})(|\beta_n^-(z_n^*)| + |\beta_n^+(z_n^*)|),$$

which gives us the result.

Now, if $B_n^+ B_n^- \neq 0$ and $\gamma_n = 0$, then z_n^+ is the only root of the equation (30) or zero of the function

$$h_n(z) = (\zeta_n(z))^2 - \beta_n^+(z)\beta_n^-(z)$$

in the disc $D = \{z : |z| < 1/8\}$, where $\zeta_n(z) = z - \alpha_n(z)$. The functions h_n is analytic on D since $\beta_n^\mp(z)$ and $\alpha_n(z)$ are analytic funtions on D (see Proposition 28 in [5]). We also have

$$|h_n(z) - z^2| = |z^2 + \alpha_n(z)^2 - 2z\alpha_n(z) - \beta_n^-(z)\beta_n^+(z) - z^2| = |\alpha_n(z)^2 - 2z\alpha_n(z) - \beta_n^-(z)\beta_n^+(z)|.$$

By Proposition 35 in [5], the maximum values of $|\alpha_n(z)|$ and $|\beta_n^\mp(z)|$ on the boundary of D converge to zero as $|n| \to \infty$, hence we may write for sufficiently large $|n|$

$$\sup_{\partial D} |h_n(z) - z^2| < \sup_{\partial D} |z^2|.$$

By Rouché's theorem, z^+ is a double root of the equation $h_n(z) = 0$ which leads to $h_n'(z^+) = 0$, so the following holds

$$2\zeta_n(z^+) \cdot (1 - \frac{d\alpha_n}{dz}(z^+)) = \frac{d\beta_n^+}{dz}(z^+) \cdot \beta_n^-(z^+) + \beta_n^+(z^+) \cdot \frac{d\beta_n^-}{dz}(z^+).$$

If we consider the upper bounds

$$|\frac{d\alpha_n}{dz}(z^+)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}(M+1)+1}, \quad |\frac{d\beta_n^\pm}{dz}(z^+)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}(M+1)+1}$$

for sufficiently large $|n|$ by Proposition 35 in [5], then triangle inequality gives

$$2|\zeta_n(z^+)|(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}(M+1)+1}) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}(M+1)+1}(|B_n^+| + |B_n^-|),$$

where $|\zeta_n(z^+)| = \sqrt{|B_n^+ B_n^-|}$ by the basic equation (30). Hence, we have

$$2(\sqrt{M}(M+1)) \leq \frac{|B_n^+| + |B_n^-|}{\sqrt{|B_n^+ B_n^-|}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{M}(M+1)|B_n^-|}{\sqrt{|B_n^- B_n^-|}}$$

by (39) and the above inequality, which gives a contradiction $2 \leq 1$. Hence, the proof is complete. □

Now, we consider the complementary cases

$$(i)\ M|B_n^+| < |B_n^-|, \quad (ii)\ M|B_n^-| < |B_n^+|. \tag{40}$$

Lemma 14. *If (i) in (40) is true and $|n|$ is sufficiently large, then the followings hold*

$$|f_{n,2}^0| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{M+1}}, \quad |f_{n,1}^0| \geq \frac{\sqrt{M}}{\sqrt{M+1}},$$

$$|\varphi_{n,2}^0| > \frac{\sqrt{M}}{\sqrt{M+1}}(1-\kappa_n), \quad |\varphi_{n,1}^0| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{M+1}} + 4\sqrt{\kappa_n},$$

where (κ_n) is a sequence of positive numbers such that $\kappa_n \to 0$.

Proof. We follow the proof of Lemma 64 in [5].

Let $M > 1$ and suppose $M|B_n^+| < |B_n^-|$. By (29), we have the followings

$$\xi_n^+ f_{n,1}^0 + B_n^- f_{n,2}^0 = 0, \quad (\xi_n^+)^2 = B_n^- B_n^+,$$

which gives

$$|B_n^-||f_{n,2}^0| = |\xi^+||f_{n,1}^0| = \sqrt{|B_n^- B_n^+|}|f_{n,1}^0|.$$

Then, we obtain

$$|f_{n,2}^0| = \frac{\sqrt{|B_n^+|}}{\sqrt{|B_n^-|}}.|f_{n,1}^0| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}|f_{n,1}^0|$$

and

$$(M+1)|f_{n,2}^0|^2 \leq |f_{n,1}^0|^2 + |f_{n,2}^0|^2 = 1,$$

so

$$|f_{n,2}^0| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{M+1}}. \tag{41}$$

And also we get a lower bound for $|f_{n,1}^0|$

$$1 = |f_{n,1}^0|^2 + |f_{n,2}^0|^2 \leq |f_{n,1}^0|^2 + \frac{|f_{n,1}^0|^2}{M} = \frac{M+1}{M}|f_{n,1}^0|^2.$$

Hence

$$|f_{n,1}^0| \geq \frac{\sqrt{M}}{\sqrt{M+1}}. \tag{42}$$

Now, we need to find bounds for $|\varphi_{n,1}^0|$ and $|\varphi_{n,2}^0|$. Noting that $f_n \perp \varphi_n$, we obtain

$$|\langle f_n^0, \varphi_n^0\rangle| \leq |\langle f_n^0 - f_n, \varphi_n^0\rangle| + |\langle f_n, \varphi_n^0 - \varphi_n\rangle| \leq \|f_n - f_n^0\|\|\varphi_n^0\| + \|f_n\|\|\varphi_n - \varphi_n^0\| \leq 2\kappa_n$$

since $\|f_n - f_n^0\| \leq \kappa_n$ and $\|\varphi_n - \varphi_n^0\| \leq \kappa_n$ by Lemma 7. On the other hand,

$$|\langle f_n^0, \varphi_n^0\rangle| = |f_{n,1}^0\overline{\varphi_{n,1}^0} + f_{n,2}^0\overline{\varphi_{n,2}^0}| \leq 2\kappa_n,$$

which leads to

$$|f_{n,1}^0\varphi_{n,1}^0| \leq |f_{n,2}^0\varphi_{n,2}^0| + 2\kappa_n.$$

Then, by (41) and (42),

$$|\varphi_{n,1}^0| \leq \frac{|f_{n,2}^0|}{|f_{n,1}^0|}|\varphi_{n,2}^0| + \frac{2\kappa_n}{|f_{n,1}^0|} \leq \frac{|\varphi_{n,2}^0|}{\sqrt{M}} + \frac{2\kappa_n\sqrt{M+1}}{\sqrt{M}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = |\varphi_{n,1}^0|^2 + |\varphi_{n,2}^0|^2 &\leq \frac{|\varphi_{n,2}^0|^2}{M} + \frac{4\kappa_n^2(M+1)}{M} + \frac{4|\varphi_{n,2}^0|\kappa_n\sqrt{M+1}}{M} + |\varphi_{n,2}^0|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{|\varphi_{n,2}^0|^2}{M} + 4\kappa_n\frac{\kappa_n(M+1)+\sqrt{M+1}}{M} + |\varphi_{n,2}^0|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{|\varphi_{n,2}^0|^2}{M} + 4\kappa_n\frac{\kappa_n(M+M)+M+M}{M} + |\varphi_{n,2}^0|^2 < \frac{|\varphi_{n,2}^0|^2}{M} + 16\kappa_n + |\varphi_{n,2}^0|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have

$$|\varphi_{n,2}^0| > \frac{\sqrt{M}}{\sqrt{M+1}}\sqrt{1-16\kappa_n}. \tag{43}$$

On the other hand,

$$1 = |\varphi^0_{n,1}|^2 + |\varphi^0_{n,2}|^2 \geq |\varphi^0_{n,1}|^2 + \frac{M}{M+1}(1 - 16\kappa_n),$$

which leads to

$$\frac{1 + 16M\kappa_n^2}{M+1} \geq |\varphi^0_{n,1}|^2.$$

Since we have $\sqrt{x+y} \leq \sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y}$ for any $x, y \geq 0$, we may write as follows

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{M+1}} + \frac{4\sqrt{M}\sqrt{\kappa_n}}{\sqrt{M+1}} \geq |\varphi^0_{n,1}|,$$

which gives

$$|\varphi^0_{n,1}| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{M+1}} + 4\sqrt{\kappa_n}\ . \tag{44}$$

□

An analogy of the previous lemma can be given for the case (ii) in (40) which has a very similar proof.

Lemma 15. *If (ii) in (40) is true and $|n|$ is sufficiently large, then the followings hold*

$$|f^0_{n,1}| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{M+1}}, \quad |f^0_{n,2}| \geq \frac{\sqrt{M}}{\sqrt{M+1}},$$

$$|\varphi^0_{n,1}| > \frac{\sqrt{M}}{\sqrt{M+1}}(1 - \kappa_n), \quad |\varphi^0_{n,2}| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{M+1}} + 4\sqrt{\kappa_n},$$

where (κ_n) is a sequence of positive numbers such that $\kappa_n \to 0$.

The next lemma gives an estimation for the ratio $\frac{|\ell_0(f_n)|}{|\ell_0(\varphi_n)|}$ in the two cases (i) and (ii) in (40).

Lemma 16. *Suppose $b \neq \pm 1$. If $|n|$ is sufficiently large and one of the cases (i) and (ii) in (40) is true for*

$$M > max\{4(|a|/|1+b|)^2, 4(|a|/|1-b|)^2, 4(|1+b|/|a|)^2, 4(|1-b|/|a|)^2\}, \tag{45}$$

then there are constants $D_3 > 0$ and $D_4 > 0$ such that

$$D_3 < \frac{|\ell_0(f_n)|}{|\ell_0(\varphi_n)|} < D_4 \tag{46}$$

holds.

Proof. We mainly follow the proof of Lemma 64 in [5].

Suppose the case (i) in (40) is true. Now, in order to get the inequality (46) we have to find lower bounds and upper bounds for both $|\ell_0(f_n)|$ and $|\ell_0(\varphi_n)|$. We easily get upper bounds as

$$|\ell_0(f_n)| \leq |\ell_0(f_n^0)| + \kappa_n \leq 1 + |b| + |a| + \kappa_n \tag{47}$$

and

$$|\ell_0(\varphi_n)| \leq |\ell_0(\varphi_n^0)| + \kappa_n \leq 1 + |b| + |a| + \kappa_n \tag{48}$$

by (32).

We continue to get lower bounds for $|\ell_0(f_n)|$ and $|\ell_0(\varphi_n)|$ by using the results coming from Lemma 14 as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
|\ell_0(f_n)| &\geq |\ell_0(f_n^0)| - \kappa_n = |(1+(-1)^n b) f_{n,1}^0 + a f_{n,2}^0| - \kappa_n \\
&\geq |(1+(-1)^n b)||f_{n,1}^0| - |a||f_{n,2}^0| - \kappa_n \geq |(1+(-1)^n b)| \frac{\sqrt{M}}{\sqrt{M+1}} - |a| \frac{1}{\sqrt{M+1}} - \kappa_n \\
&= \frac{|(1+(-1)^n b)|\sqrt{M} - |a|}{\sqrt{M+1}} - \kappa_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, since $M > 4(|a|/|1 \mp b|)^2$ by (45) we get

$$|\ell_0(f_n)| \geq \frac{|a|}{\sqrt{M+1}} - \kappa_n.$$

We also obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
|\ell_0(\varphi_n)| &\geq |\ell_0(\varphi_n^0)| - \kappa_n = |(1+(-1)^n b)\varphi_{n,1}^0 + a\varphi_{n,2}^0| - \kappa_n \\
&\geq |a|.|\varphi_{n,2}^0| - |(1+(-1)^n b)|.|\varphi_{n,1}^0| - \kappa_n \\
&\geq |a| \frac{\sqrt{M}}{\sqrt{M+1}} (1 - \kappa_n) - |(1+(-1)^n b|(\frac{1}{\sqrt{M+1}} + 4\sqrt{\kappa_n}) - \kappa_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $M > 4(|1 \mp b|/|a|)^2$ by (45) we get

$$|\ell_0(\varphi_n)| \geq \frac{|1+(-1)^n b|}{\sqrt{M+1}} - (|a| \frac{\sqrt{M}}{\sqrt{M+1}} + 1)\kappa_n + 4|1+(-1)^n b|\sqrt{\kappa_n}.$$

In case when n is even, we have

$$|\ell_0(f_n)| \geq \frac{|a|}{2\sqrt{M+1}}, \quad |\ell_0(\varphi_n)| \geq \frac{|1+b|}{2\sqrt{M+1}}$$

for sufficiently large $|n|$. Hence, by (47) and (48) for $\kappa_n < 1$, we may conclude that

$$\frac{\frac{|a|}{2\sqrt{M+1}}}{1+|b|+|a|} \leq \frac{\frac{|a|}{2\sqrt{M+1}}}{1+|b|+|a|+\kappa_n} \leq \frac{|\ell_0(f_n)|}{|\ell_0(\varphi_n)|} \leq \frac{1+|b|+|a|+\kappa_n}{\frac{|1+b|}{2\sqrt{M+1}}} \leq \frac{2+|b|+|a|}{\frac{|1+b|}{2\sqrt{M+1}}}$$

which leads to (46) with

$$D_3 = \frac{\frac{|a|}{2\sqrt{M+1}}}{1+|b|+|a|}\,, \qquad D_4 = \frac{2+|b|+|a|}{\frac{|1+b|}{2\sqrt{M+1}}}.$$

Similar result holds in the case when n is odd. Also, the proof for the case (ii) in (40) is the same as the proof for the case (i) in (40). □

We give an analogue of Proposition 65 in [5] with its similar proof.

Proposition 17. *If (i) or (ii) in (40) holds for*
(49)
$M > max\{4(|1-b|/|a|)^2, 4(|1+b|/|a|)^2, 4(|a|/|1-b|)^2, 4(|a|/|1+b|)^2\}$,

where $b \neq \mp 1$ (or equivalently $ad \neq 0$), then there are constants $D_8 > 0$ and $D_9 > 0$ such that

$$|B_n^+| + |B_n^-| \leq D_8|\gamma_n| + D_9|\mu_n - \lambda_n^+| \tag{50}$$

holds.

Proof. We prove the cases when n is even and n is odd simultanously. Assume the case (i) in (40) for the given M in the hypothesis. We know that $\ell_0(G_n) = 0$ where $G_n = s_n f_n + t_n \varphi_n$, so $|\ell_0(f_n)|/|\ell_0(\varphi_n)| = |t_n|/|s_n|$ and by Lemma 16

$$0 < D_3 \leq |t_n|/|s_n| \leq D_4$$

and

$$1 = |s_n|^2 + |t_n|^2 \leq \frac{|t_n|^2}{D_3^2} + |t_n|^2,$$

so we obtain

$$|t_n| \geq \sqrt{\frac{D_3^2}{1+D_3^2}} > 0. \tag{51}$$

Furthermore, the inequality $M|B_n^+| < |B_n^-|$ and its consequence with Lemma 14 give the following estimation to get a lower bound for

$|\langle f_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle|$ by making use of (36), (49) and the coefficients in (10) as

$$\begin{aligned}|\langle f_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle| &\geq |\langle f_n^0, g_n^0\rangle| - \kappa_n = |\overline{A_n} f_{n,1}^0 + \overline{B_n} f_{n,2}^0| - \kappa_n \\ &\geq \frac{|1-(-1)^n b|}{\sqrt{|a|^2+|1-(-1)^n b|^2}} |f_{n,1}^0| - \frac{|a|}{\sqrt{|a|^2+|1-(-1)^n b|^2}} |f_{n,2}^0| - \kappa_n \\ &\geq \frac{|1-(-1)^n b|}{\sqrt{|a|^2+|1-(-1)^n b|^2}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{M}}{\sqrt{M+1}} - \frac{|a|}{\sqrt{|a|^2+|1-(-1)^n b|^2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{M+1}} - \kappa_n \\ &\geq \frac{|a|}{\sqrt{|a|^2+|1-(-1)^n b|^2}.\sqrt{M+1}} - \kappa_n.\end{aligned}$$

So, for large enough $|n|$ we get

$$|\langle f_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle| \geq D_5 > 0, \tag{52}$$

where

$$D_5 = min\left\{ \frac{|a|}{2\sqrt{|a|^2+|1-b|^2}.\sqrt{M+1}} \ , \ \frac{|a|}{2\sqrt{|a|^2+|1+b|^2}.\sqrt{M+1}} \right\}.$$

Similarly, the case (ii) in (40) also gives the existence of a positive lower bound for $|\langle f_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle|$.

Now, we consider the equation (18). First, we note that $t_n = -\tau_n.\ell_0(f_n)$ and $|\ell_0(f_n)| \geq |\ell_0(f_n^0)| - \kappa_n \geq C_0 - \kappa_n$, where C_0 is a positive number depending on general boundary conditions and $\kappa_n \leq C_0/2$. We also have that $|\langle f_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle| \geq D_5 > 0$. Hence, we may divide both sides of the equality (18) by $\tau_n^{-1} t_n \langle f_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle$ and get that

$$|\xi| = |\frac{\tau_n^{-1}(\mu_n - \lambda_n^+)\langle G_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle + \tau_n^{-1} t_n \gamma_n \langle \varphi_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle}{\tau_n^{-1} t_n \langle f_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle}|.$$

Then, due to (35), (51), (52) we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\xi_n| &= |\frac{\tau_n^{-1}(\mu_n - \lambda_n^+)\langle G_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle + \tau_n^{-1} t_n \gamma_n \langle \varphi_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle}{\tau_n^{-1} t_n \langle f_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle}| \\ &\leq \frac{|\mu_n - \lambda_n^+|(|C|+1) + |\gamma_n|.|\ell_0(f_n)|.|\overline{A_n f_{n,2}^0} - \overline{B_n f_{n,1}^0} + 1|}{|-\ell_0(f_n)|.|\langle f_n, \tilde{g}_n\rangle|} \\ &\leq \frac{|\mu_n - \lambda_n^+|(|C|+1) + 3.|\gamma_n|.(1+|b|+|a|+1)}{\frac{C_0}{2} D_5}.\end{aligned}$$

So, we obtain

$$|\xi_n| \leq D_6 |\mu_n - \lambda_n^+| + D_7 |\gamma_n|, \tag{53}$$

where

$$D_6 = \frac{|C|+1}{\frac{C_0}{2} D_5}, \quad D_7 = \frac{3.(2+|b|+|a|)}{\frac{C_0}{2} D_5}.$$

We also have

$$\frac{1}{2}(|B_n^+| + |B_n^-|) \leq \|(z_n^+ - S(\lambda_n^+))P_n^0\varphi\| \tag{54}$$

for sufficiently large $|n|$ by Lemma 60 in [5]. Moreover, we have

$$\|(z_n^+ - S(\lambda_n^+))P_n^0\varphi\| \leq 2(|\xi_n| + |\gamma_n|) \tag{55}$$

for sufficiently large enough $|n|$ by Lemma 59 in [5]. Hence, by (53), (54) and (55) we obtain

$$|B_n^+| + |B_n^-| \leq 4|\xi_n| + 4|\gamma_n| \leq D_8|\mu_n - \lambda_n^+| + D_9|\gamma_n|,$$

where $D_8 = 4D_6$ and $D_9 = 4D_7 + 4$. This completes the proof. □

In the above results, the analogues of Proposition 62, Proposition 63 and Proposition 65 in [5] (which are used in the proof of Theorem 66 in [5]) are given as Proposition 12, Proposition 13 and Proposition 17, respectively. Hence, as in Theorem 66 in [5] we get the proof of Theorem 3 which claims that for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with large enough $|n|$ there are constants $K_1 > 0$ and $K_2 > 0$ such that

$$K_1(|\beta_n^-(z_n^*)|+|\beta_n^+(z_n^*)|) \leq |\lambda_n^+-\lambda_n^-|+|\mu_n^{bc}-\lambda_n^+| \leq K_2(|\beta_n^-(z_n^*)|+|\beta_n^+(z_n^*)|).$$

Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 now follow from Theorem 3 due to the asymptotical equivalence of the sequence $(|\beta_n^+(z_n^*)| + |\beta_n^-(z_n^*)|)$ with each of the sequences (Δ_n) and (Δ_n^{bc}).

References

[1] A. Batal, Characterization of Potential smoothness and Riesz basis property of Hill-Schrödinger operators with singular periodic potentials in terms of periodic, antiperiodic and Neumann spectra, Sabanci University PhD Dissertation, 2014.

[2] A. Batal, Characterization of potential smoothness and Riesz basis property of Hill-Schrödinger operators with singular periodic potentials in terms of periodic, antiperiodic and Neumann spectra, arXiv:1309.6293 [math.SP] 24 Sep 2013.

[3] A. Batal, Characterization of potential smoothness and the Riesz basis property of the Hill-Schrödinger operator in terms of periodic, antiperiodic and Neumann spectra, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **405(2)** (2013), 453–465.

[4] P. Djakov and B. Mityagin, Unconditional convergence of spectral decompositions of 1D operators with regular boundary conditions, Indiana University Mathematics Journal, Vol. **61** (2012), 359–398.

[5] P. Djakov and B. Mityagin, Instability zones of periodic 1-dimensional Schrödinger and Dirac operators, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 2006, **61**:4(370), 77-182. (English: Russian Math. Surveys **61** (2006), no 4, 663–766).

[6] P. Djakov and B. Mityagin, Criteria for existence of Riesz bases consisting of root functions of Hill and 1D Dirac operators, J. Funct. Anal. **263** (2012), no. 8, 2300-2332.

[7] P. Djakov and B. Mityagin, Spectra of 1-D periodic Dirac operators and smoothness of potentials. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can. **25** (2003), 121–125.
[8] P. Djakov and B. Mityagin, Instability zones of a periodic 1D Dirac operator and smoothness of its potential. Comm. Math. Phys. **259** (2005), 139–183.
[9] P. Djakov and B. Mityagin, Smoothness of Schrödinger operator potential in the case of Gevrey type asymptotics of the gaps, J. Funct. Anal. **195** (2002), 89–128.
[10] P. Djakov and B. Mityagin, Spectral triangles of Schrödinger operators with complex potentials. Selecta Math. (N.S.) **9** (2003), 495–528.
[11] M. G. Gasymov, Spectral analysis of a class of second order nonselfadjoint differential operators, Functional Anal. and its Appl. 14 (1980), 14–19.
[12] F. Gesztesy and V. Tkachenko, A Schauder and Riesz basis criterion for non-self-adjoint Schrödinger operators with periodic and anti-periodic boundary conditions, J. Differential Equations **253** (2012), 400–437.
[13] B. Grébert, T. Kappeler and B. Mityagin, Gap estimates of the spectrum of the Zakharov-Shabat system, Appl. Math. Lett. **11**:4 (1998), 95-97.
[14] B. Grébert and T. Kappeler, Estimates on periodic and Dirichlet eigenvalues for the Zakharov-Shabat system, Asymptot. Anal. **25**:3-4 (2001), 201-237; "Erratum", Asymptot. Anal. **29**:2 (2002), 183.
[15] H. Hochstadt, Estimates on the stability intervals for the Hill's equation, Proc. AMS **14** (1963), 930–932.
[16] H. Hochstadt, On the determination of a Hill's equation from its spectrum, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **19** (1965), 353–362
[17] T. Kappeler and B. Mityagin, Estimates for periodic and Dirichlet eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operator, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **33** (2001), 113–152.
[18] T. Kappeler, F. Serier and P. Topalov, On the characterization of the smoothness of skew-adjoint potentials in periodic Dirac operators, Journal of Functional Analysis **256**, no. 7 (2009): 2069–2112.
[19] V. F. Lazutkin and T. F. Pankratova, Asymptotics of the width of gaps in the spectrum of the Sturm-Liouville operators with periodic potential, Soviet Math. Dokl. Vol. **15** (1974), 649–653.
[20] V. A. Marchenko and I. V. Ostrovskii, Characterization of the spectrum of Hill's operator, Matem. Sborn. **97** (1975), 540-606; English transl. in Math. USSR-Sb. **26 (175)**.
[21] H. McKean and E. Trubowitz, Hill's operator and hyperelliptic function theory in the presence of infinitely many branch points. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **29** (1976), 143–226.
[22] J,-J. Sansuc and V. Tkachenko, Spectral parametrization of non-selfadjoint Hill"s operators, J. Differential Equations **125**:2 (1996), 366-384.
[23] J,-J. Sansuc and V. Tkachenko, Spectral properties of non-selfadjoint Hill"s operators with smooth potentials, Algebraic and geometric methods in mathematical physics (Kaciveli, 1993) (A. Boutet de Monvel and V. Marčenko, eds.), Math. Phys. Stud., vol. 19, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht 1996, pp.371-385.
[24] V. Tkachenko, Spectral analysis of the nonselfadjoint Hill operator, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **322** (1992), 248–252; translation in Soviet Math. Dokl. **45** (1992), 78–82.

[25] V. Tkachenko, Discriminants and generic spectra of nonselfadjoint Hill"s operators, Spectral operator theory and related topics, Adv. Soviet Math, vol. 19, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 1994, pp. 41-71.
[26] E. Trubowitz, The inverse problem for periodic potentials, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **30** (1977), 321–342.

Sabanci University,, Orhanli, 34956 Tuzla, Istanbul, Turkey
E-mail address, İ. Arslan: ilkerarslan@sabanciuniv.edu